

## Regulations of acceptance and reviewing process of materials submitted to the journal “Rhema”

1. All scientific articles submitted to the Editorial Board of the journal are subject to mandatory review. Materials are evaluated by double-blind peer review by two (in case of disagreement — three) independent experts.
2. The Executive Secretary determines the compliance of the article with the journal’s profile and formatting requirements and sends it for review to experts in the scientific specialization close to the problem of the article.
3. The review highlights the following issues:
  - compliance of the article's content with the topic stated in the title;
  - compliance of the article with modern scientific achievements;
  - accessibility to readers in terms of language, style, organization of the material, visibility of tables, diagrams, drawings and formulas;
  - expediency of publishing the article taking into account previous publications;
  - positive aspects and shortcomings of the article, necessary corrections and additions.
4. In the final part of the review, based on the results of the analysis of the article, a clear recommendation should be given about its publication in the presented form or about the need for minor or major revisions (with constructive comments), about the advisability (or inexpediency) of its publication in this journal.
5. The review is conducted confidentially. The Author of the article is given the opportunity to read the text of the review. Violation of confidentiality is possible only in the case of a Reviewer's statement about the unreliability or falsification of the materials set out in the article.
6. If the review contains recommendations for correction and revision of the article, the Executive Secretary sends the Author the text of the review with a proposal to take them into account when preparing a new version of the article or to argue against them to refute them (partially or completely). The Author's revised article is re-sent for review.
7. The article that is not recommended for publication by the Reviewers is not accepted for re-consideration. After making a positive decision on allowing the article to be published, the Executive Secretary of the Editorial Board informs the Author and indicates the possible date of publication. The text of the reviews is sent to the Author by e-mail.
8. Reviews are stored in the editorial office for 5 years.